

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/309,868	09/21/1994	HIDENARI YASUI	28	6704
7	7590 01/14/2002			
FLYNN, THIEL, BOUTELL & TANIS			EXAMINER	
2026 RAMBLING ROAD KALAMAZOO, MI 49008			SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	411
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2002	X4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/309,868

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Curtis E. Sherrer

Art Unit 1761

Yasui et al.



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	or Reply	
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
aft - If the be	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ation. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
co - Faitur - Any r	mmunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		·
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 16, 20	001
2a) 🗆	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance ϵ closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	•
4) 💢	Claim(s) 2-5 and 7-12	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) 7-10	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗀	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 2-5, 11, and 12	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11) 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
•	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received in Application No
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	
3 14)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
,		
Attachm		451 The state of t
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
· <u></u>	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 20) Other:
177 🗀 In	nonnation Disclosure Statement(s) (Ε10-1449) Paper No(s).	201 0.000.

Serial Number: 08/309,868

Art Unit: 1761

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims 7-10 drawn to an invention non-elected with traverse in Paper No. 5. A complete response to the final rejection must include cancellation of non-elected claims or other appropriate action (37 C.F.R. § 1.144) M.P.E.P. § 821.01.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. § 119, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11, 2, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 3,591,491) in view of Hei et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,484,549) or Berndt (U.S. Pat. No. 5,520,888) or Kramer et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,215,554).
- 5. In Paper #23, Decision on Appeal, the Board of Appeal stated that "the [claim] phrase 'aerated aqueous suspension withdrawn from the aeration tank' is not expressly defined in the

Serial Number: 08/309,868

Art Unit: 1761

present specification, it is clear from the ordinary meaning of this recitation and the enlightenment found in the accompanying written description that the term 'aerated aqueous suspension' refers to the material which is remove form the aeration tank prior to its introduction into the solid/liquid separation unit." (Emphasis theirs). (The claimed invention therefore excludes the process of Fig. 5, whereby the aerated aqueous suspension is first treated by ozone). Because the examiner did not find the claim to be limited in the above fashion, the prior rejection was determined to be improper.

- Smith et al. teach the purification of "sewage, industrial waste or garbage in an 6. aerobic process having a primary and a secondary clarifier and a return for selected portions of the activated sludge." (Col. 3, lines 30-33). Figure 1 shows the sewage entering an aerobic processing tank and then to a clarifier. The activated sludge then is sent to a "microbial biolysis unit" (49). The patent teaches that "means for effecting biolysis such as . .., ozonation, ... may be employed." (Col. 6, lines 55-61). The optimization of feeding the oxygenated organisms is referred to in col. 6, lines 30-34. See also col. 7, lines 11-25.
- While Smith et al. teach the use of ozonation there no mention as to what the pH value 7. might be during ozonation.
- Hei et al. and Berndt and Kramer (all previously cited) all teach the effects of pH on 8. the solubility of ozone in an aqueous solution.

Serial Number: 08/309,868 4

Art Unit: 1761

9. Hei et al. teach, at col. 3, lines 38-53, the "low solubility and instability of ozone . . . is substantially enhanced as the pH increases past 6."

- 10. Berndt teaches, at col. 4, lines 48-60, the well known effect of pH on the solubility of ozone.
- 11. Kramer et al. teach, at col. 41, lines 14-30, the well known adverse effects of high pH's on ozone stability.
- 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add chemicals, such as those taught by Hei et al. and Berndt and Kramer et al. to obtain a pH of below 5 in the Smith et al. Process because Hei et al. and Berndt and Kramer et al. teach that high pH's adversely affect the stability and solubility of ozone.
- 13. It is considered that Applicants have merely employed well known waste processing technology in conjunction with routine optimization that produced the expected results.

 Specifically, it is considered that Applicants have optimized the pH and temperature to obtain the desired final solids level.
- 14. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Smith et al. in view of Hei et al. or Berndt or Kramer et al. and in further view of Dorau et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,362,395).

5

Serial Number: 08/309,868

Art Unit: 1761

- 15. The cited art teaches that noted above but do not teach the use of a membrane filter to separate a solid phase from the liquid phase as claimed. While it is considered that it is notoriously well known to separate solids from liquids by means of a membrane separation unit Dorau et al. teaches this use. Dorau et al. was previously relied on and therefore citation to the pertinent portions will not be repeated. It would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to separate the sludge from a solid/liquid suspension because it is notoriously well known as effective for this purpose.
- Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Smith et al. in 16. view of Hei et al. or Berndt or Kramer et al. and in further view of Brock (Biology of Microorganisms pp. 214 and 215).
- Smith et al. in view of Hei et al. or Berndt or Kramer et al. teach that which is cited 17. above but do not disclose lowering the pH by acidogenesis.
- Brock broadly discloses the well known effect of microorganisms on the pH. One 18. example of man's use of this pH-lowering-effect by anaerobic fermentation is in the production of pickles (page 215) "by allowing acidity to develop directly in the food through microbial action."

6

Serial Number: 08/309,868

Art Unit: 1761

19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art Smith et al. in view of

Hei et al. or Berndt or Kramer et al. and in further view of Brock since it well known to

utilize organisms to lower a solution's pH and thereby optimize the use of ozone.

20. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Smith et al. in

view of Hei et al. or Berndt or Kramer et al. and in further view of Brock (Biology of

Microorganisms pp. 202 to 204).

21. Smith et al. in view of Hei et al. or Berndt or Kramer et al. teach that which is cited

above but do not disclose the heating of the system fluids.

22. Brock broadly discloses the well known effect of temperatures on thermophilic

microorganisms. Specifically, thermophiles grow at temperatures of 50°C and higher. An

example of a thermophile environment is that of a compost pile whose temperatures "usually

reach 60 to 65°C" (page 204, top).

23. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art Smith et al. in view of

Hei et al. or Berndt or Kramer et al. and in further view of Brock since it well known that

decomposing organisms operate at higher temperatures.

Conclusion

7

Serial Number: 08/309,868

Art Unit: 1761

- 24. No claim is allowed.
- 25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis Sherrer whose telephone number is (703) 308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 6:30. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)-305-3602.
- 26. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Curtis E. Sherrer Primary Examiner January 4, 2002